

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 THE HOUSEHOLDER GROUP LLLP,

No. C 07-573 SI

9 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A  
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION**

10 v.

11 RANDY S. FUSS,

12 Defendant.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/

14 Plaintiff has filed a motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration of the Court's July 22,  
15 2008 order. Contrary to plaintiff's assertions, the Court did not fail to consider material facts and  
16 dispositive legal arguments with regard to plaintiff's trade secrets claim. With respect to the liquidated  
17 damages clause, the Court granted defendant's motion for summary judgment because the liquidated  
18 damages do not bear any relationship to the breach; the Court's ruling did not turn on the evidence cited  
19 by plaintiff in the motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration. Accordingly, the Court DENIES  
20 plaintiff's motion. (Docket No. 83).

21  
22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23  
24 Dated: September 22, 2008



25 SUSAN ILLSTON  
26 United States District Judge  
27  
28

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28